



**Kewaunee County
BROADBAND STUDY COMMITTEE**

April 24, 2019 4:30 p.m.

**Kewaunee County Administration Center, 810 Lincoln Street, Kewaunee, WI 54216
Human Services Training Room**

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Approval of April 24, 2019 Agenda
4. Approval of March 27, 2019 Minutes
5. Discussion of Potential Options/Paths
- 6.
- 7.
8. Such Other Matters as Authorized by Law
9. Next Meeting – May 22 or 29
10. Adjournment

Committee Mission Statement

"The mission of the Kewaunee County Broadband Study Committee is to research and gather information to educate the County Board and the community as to the various options and their costs required to serve the broadband needs of its citizens and businesses, and to encourage providers to invest and provide those services in Kewaunee County."

The Committee welcomes all visitors to listen and observe, but only Committee members and those invited to speak will be permitted to do so. Persons with disabilities needing special accommodations to attend or participate should contact the County Administrator's Office at (920) 388-7164 prior to the meeting so that accommodations may be arranged.



**Kewaunee County
BROADBAND STUDY COMMITTEE
MINUTES**

March 27, 2019 4:30 p.m.

**Kewaunee County Administration Center, 810 Lincoln Street, Kewaunee, WI 54216
Human Services Training Room**

Call to Order: Vice-Chair Paape called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

Roll Call: Members Present – Gary Paape, Tom Cretney, Mary Ellen Dobbins, Virginia Haske, Lee Luft, Scott Feldt, Steve Hanson, Jeff Wisnicky, Richard Baker, Ryan Hoffman, Kim Larson, Frank Mazdarevic, August Neverman. Additional Attendees – John Pabich, Jason Huston, Jennifer Gonzalez.

Approval of Agenda: Luft moved and Hoffman seconded to approve the agenda. Motion carried.

Approval of Minutes: Baker moved and Cretney seconded to approve the January 29, 2019. Motion carried.

Discussion of Study Group Mission Statement: Feldt provided the committee with copies of proposed mission statements. The committee discussed the mission statement options. Baker Moved, Hoffman seconded with a friendly amendment by Neverman for the mission statement top read as follows: ***“The mission of the Kewaunee County Broadband Study Committee is to research and gather information to educate the County Board and the community as to the various options and their costs required to serve the broadband needs of its citizens and businesses, and to encourage providers to invest and provide those services in Kewaunee County.”*** Motion carried.

Discussion of Study Group Goals: Feldt provided a copy of potential options and decision making considerations for the general discussion. Neverman also provided a map of potential fiber connections throughout the county. Neverman emphasized that the map was for discussion purposes only and should not be construed as to locations of fiber lines. Feldt and Neverman began the discussion as to how some of the various options could be seen as advantageous as well as the obstacles that they may face. Feldt stated to the Committee that the current discussion is not to necessarily identify a specific path or solution. The discussion is to help the group to determine the most important things they believe an expansion of internet access should achieve. Members from the group each gave their first impressions as to what should be the goals of the committee. The general consensus of the group was that improved service was a main goal. More discussion followed as topics of cost, difficulty of implementation, and the advantages and disadvantages of the various options. Options discussed included serving the most residents possible, serving the largest area that provides a specific minimum upload and download speed, serving the schools and students, and serving businesses and their needs. Each options discussed had positives and negatives. Decision making considerations included short term effects, long term effects, funding considerations, speed of implementation, political considerations, and how the options can be incorporated into various economic/community development plans. The committee will continue to discuss these issues at the next meeting. Neverman challenged the members for the next meeting to bring their rankings as to what options should be considered first, second, etc. and be prepared to discuss.



**Kewaunee County
BROADBAND STUDY COMMITTEE
MINUTES**

March 27, 2019 4:30 p.m.

**Kewaunee County Administration Center, 810 Lincoln Street, Kewaunee, WI 54216
Human Services Training Room**

Discussion of Hiring a Consultant: Deferred to later meeting.

Such Other Matters as Authorized by Law: None.

Next Meeting: April 24, 2019 at 4:30 p.m.

Adjournment: Luft moved and Cretney seconded to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried. Meeting was adjourned at 6:37 p.m.

Submitted by:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott Feldt".

Scott Feldt, Recording Secretary

BROADBAND STUDY COMMITTEE

DRAFT

Ranking of Options

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____

Different Options to Consider

1. Area serving the most residents possible
2. Area with largest 50/50 Mbps coverage
3. Focus on serving Businesses
4. Focus on serving Schools/Education
5. Focus on serving Farms/Agribusinesses
6. Focus on serving Governments
7. Focus on serving Manufacturing
8. Focus on serving Medical Facilities
9. Focus on serving Algoma
10. Focus on serving Casco
11. Focus on serving Dyckesville
12. Focus on serving Kewaunee
13. Focus on serving Luxemburg
14. Focus on serving a specific township
15. Focus on serving Combination of 2 or more communities
16. Focus on serving Rural areas
17. Focus on serving Urban areas
18. Focus on serving along State Highways
19. Focus on serving along County Highways
20. Focus on serving along town roads
21. Cheapest Option for County that provides 25/3 Mbps to 90% of the County (area or population)
22. Best Long-Term option for 90% of the County (area or population) regardless of cost
23. Option that provides least expensive 25/3 Mbps service to 90% of consumers
24. Option where County owns NO towers or fiber (rent all line service from providers)
25. Option where County owns ALL towers or fiber (rent no line service from providers)
26. Option where County owns SOME towers or fiber (rent some % of line service from providers)